ORIGINAL

OFFICIAL FILE STATE OF ILLINOIS [LLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion	2003 MAY 12 P 1: 28
) Docket Not 01-0705 S SFF OE
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company)
Reconciliation of Revenues collected under Gas Adjustment Charges with Actual Costs prudently incurred)))
Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion)))
) Docket No. 02-0067
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company)
Proceeding to review Rider 4, Gas Cost, pursuant to Section 9-244(c) of the Public Utilities Act))
Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion))
) Docket No. 02-0725
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company))
Reconciliation of Revenues collected under	ý
Gas Adjustment Charges with Actual Costs prudently incurred)
prodeinty medited	<i>)</i>

REPLY OF THE COOK COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD TO THE MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

Now comes RICHARD A. DEVINE, State's Attorney of Cook County, on behalf of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office ("CCSAO") and the Citizens Utility Board by their Attorneys ("CUB") in reply to Nicor's Response to the Motion to Compel Discovery states as follows:

I. Introduction

Nicor, in its response to the motion to compel of Cook County and CUB, does a masterful, albeit disingenuous, job of obfuscating the issue of its non-compliance with Supreme Court Rules and the ALJs order. There was no ambiguity about the fact that Nicor was to answer fully interrogatories consistent with Supreme Court Rule 213 in regard to its expert witnesses. This was confirmed in a telephone conference with Nicor, that Nicor does not deny, within 1-2 days of the status hearing. The attorneys for the movants even offered to propound the interrogatories in writing. The attorneys for Nicor indicated that that was unnecessary. If there had been any doubt on the part of the movants regarding what was expected or what Nicor agreed to do, written interrogatories would have been filed immediately.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Nicor filed purported discovery responses that meet neither the letter nor spirit of the ALJs ruling or the Supreme Court Rule. Throughout its response, Nicor indicates that somehow the parties and the ALJs created a hybrid Supreme Court Rule in regard to what its obligations were. Nicor further claims that Supreme Court Rules are not applicable to the ICC, that the ALJs did not intend to apply the Supreme Court Rules, and that its disclosures comport with Rule 213 as applied to this proceeding by the ALJs (Nicor response at pp. 4-5). As will be more fully set forth in this reply, these assertions are without merit and Nicor must be compelled to properly answer written discovery.

II. Argument

A. Supreme Court Rule 213 applies to this case.

A reading of the transcript in its entirety clearly indicates that it was the intent of the ALJs and the movants that Nicor answer Supreme Court Rule 213 interrogatories. It is also clear

that the ALJs did not consider S. Ct. R. 213 atypical for Commission proceedings. Rather, Nicor raised a concern that its testimony may be atypical or "hybrid" in nature. Tr. 109. In order to accommodate such concern, the ALJ requested that if Nicor thought the testimony might be hybrid, then a witness disclosure "in the guise of" the requirements of S. Ct. Rule 213 would be appropriate. *Id*.

Nicor simply did not comply with S.Ct. Rule 213 and admits its noncompliance. (Nicor Response at 3-5.) The ALJs should not allow Nicor to proceed by merely meeting what it claims is the "intent of Rule 213." (Nicor Response at 5.) The rule is clear on its face and its intent is that there be full and complete disclosure by the parties. Not only did the ALJs require compliance with Rule 213, but also the Commission rules provide for discovery procedures such as Rule 213 interrogatories. 83 Ill.Admn. Code 200.360 (a) and (c) states:

Section 200.360: Depositions and Other Discovery Procedures

a) The Commission, any Commissioner, the Hearing Examiner or any party may, in any investigation or hearing before the Commission, cause the deposition of witnesses residing within or without Illinois to be taken in the manner prescribed by law for like depositions in civil actions in the courts of Illinois and to that end may compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of papers, books accounts and documents. [220 ILCS 5/10-106] Except under special circumstances and for good cause shown, no deposition may be taken except upon 14 days prior notice to all parties and staff witnesses.

c) In addition to depositions, and subject to the provisions of this Part, any party may utilize written interrogatories to other parties, requests for discovery or inspection of documents or property and other discovery tools commonly utilized in civil actions in the Circuit Courts of the State of Illinois in the manner contemplated by the Code of Civil Procedure [735 ILCS 5] and the Rules of the Supreme Court of Illinois [S. Ct. Rules].

¹ It is important to note that Nicor's disclosed witnesses are not hybrid and are clearly controlled expert witnesses. S. Ct. Rule 213(f)(3).

Moreover, as noted in the motion, CCSAO/CUB thoroughly discussed with Nicor, both off-the record and during phone conversations that the disclosures would be in conformity with the letter and spirit of S. Ct. Rule 213. (CCSAO/CUB Motion at 4.) Nicor cannot pretend to have been confused or unclear about the requirements of the witness disclosures. Nicor's refusal to comply with S.Ct. Rule 213 is a blatant rejection of the ALJs ruling, the Commission's practice, and its own agreement with CCSAO/CUB.

Full and complete answers to Rule 213 interrogatories form the basis upon which meaningful depositions can be taken. Nicor's failure to properly answer the interrogatories is extremely prejudicial to the movants. The only information provided was the curriculum vitae's of the witnesses and the subject matter of their testimony. There is no indication as to the witnesses' opinions or conclusions or what documents or other material they reviewed in order to reach their conclusions. Nicor also fails to respond to the assertions of CCSAO/CUB that some of its witnesses may not be qualified to render opinions in this docket or that the testimony is cumulative. Perhaps Nicor's reluctance to answer fully and completely discovery authorized by the Illinois Supreme Court, and expressly incorporated into this proceeding by the ICC, is a recognition of these deficiencies. Regardless, the movants urge this tribunal to order Nicor to answer the discovery properly within seven days.

B. Depositions are an appropriate alternative in this case.

At a threshold level, Nicor response to CCSAO/CUB's motion regarding depositions raises issues that are troubling to the movants. In it, Nicor intimates that it is only as a result of its acquiescence that depositions will proceed and that its agreement on that issue may be transitory. Nicor states that it questions whether the original rationale for depositions still has merit but that it will honor its original agreement, within reason. (Nicor response at 6). In order

to eliminate any confusion going forward, the movants request that the Commission to order Nicor to answer the discovery properly, to explicitly give the movants the right to take depositions, to order that Nicor produce its employees for depositions, and to authorize the issuance of subpoenas for non-Nicor employees.

It bears repeating that the parties are in contentious litigation regarding the extent to which Nicor has defrauded ratepayers. Nicor has admitted the fraud; the issue is how much is owed ratepayers and the facts behind the action. Nicor complains about the length of time that discovery has taken to date and ignores the fact that it completely controls the flow of information and that motions to compel have been previously filed against it to force discovery compliance. It now files totally incomplete responses to discovery, beyond the time originally ordered, and then has the temerity to insist that the depositions proceed without delay and without answering the discovery. Nicor's position is untenable.

It is clear from Nicor's response that it is now playing "hide the pea." It does not want to answer written discovery and claims, improperly, that the discovery provisions do not apply in this docket. It also does not want to submit its witnesses to a deposition after their testimony is filed but offers no authority for that proposition. When it suits it purposes, it uses rules, real or imagined, as a sword or a shield.

Nicor cannot have it both ways. The movants would urge that the ALJs offer Nicor two choices: answer fully and completely Supreme Court Rule 213 interrogatories or submit its witnesses to a deposition after their testimony is pre-filed. Anything less is extremely prejudicial to the movants ability to ascertain the extent to which Nicor has defrauded ratepayers and misled this Commission in the process.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, CCSAO/CUB respectfully request that this Commission order Nicor to properly answer Supreme Court Rule 213 interrogatories within seven days, or in the alternative, to order Nicor to file its direct testimony within 21 days, with depositions of those witnesses to follow.

Respectfully Submitted,

RICHARD A. DEVINE

State's Attorney of Cook County

Dated: May 9, 2003

Mark N. Pera

Assistant State's Attorney

By: Robert J. Kelter by MNP
Robert J. Kelter

Citizen's Utility Board

RICHARD A. DEVINE

COOK COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY

MARK N. PERA MARIE SPICUZZA LEIJUANA DOSS Assistant State's Attorneys 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 603-8600

ROBERT J. KELTER CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD 208 S. LaSalle Street Suite 1760 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 263-4282

STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion) }) Docket No. 01-0705
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company)
Reconciliation of Revenues collected under Gas Adjustment Charges with Actual Costs prudently incurred	
Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion))
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company	Docket No. 02-0067
Proceeding to review Rider 4, Gas Cost, Pursuant to Section 9-244(c) of the Public Utilities Act)))
Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion)))
Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a NICOR Gas Company) Docket No. 02-0725))
Reconciliation of Revenues collected under Gas Adjustment Charges with Actual Costs prudently incurred)))

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date, May 9, 2003, we have filed with the Chief Clerk of the Illinois Commerce Commission the enclosed Reply of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office and Citizens Utility Board to the Motion to Compel Discovery in the above-captioned docket.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, MARK N. PERA, hereby certify that a copy of the enclosed Reply of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office and Citizens Utility Board Motion to Compel Discovery was served on all parties on the attached list on the 9th day of May 2003, by Fed-Ex, Fax, hand delivery or U. S. first class mail prepaid.

Mark N. Pera

Mal Offer

Assistant State's Attorney

SERVICE LIST

ICC DOCKET NO. 02-0067

Thomas A. Andreoli
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
d/b/a Nicor Gas Company
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
8000 Sears Tower
233 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
tandreoli@sonnenschein.com

Richard C. Balough Atty. for Citizens Utility Board Richard C. Balough, Attorney-at-Law 656 W. Randolph Street, Suite 500 West Chicago, IL 60661 rbalough@balough.com

Margaret Barnabee Chairman's Assistant Illinois Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601-3104 mbarnabe@icc.state.il.us

Janice A. Dale Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Bureau 100 W. Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, IL 60601 idale@atg.state.il.us

James A. Davidson
United States Securities & Exchange
Commission
175 West Jackson St., Suite 900
Chicago, IL 60604
davidsonj@sec.gov

Glennon P. Dolan Administrative Law Judge Illinois Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601 gdolan@icc.state.il.us

Leijuana Doss
Assistant State's Attorney
Environment & Energy Division
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60602
Idoss@cookcountygov.com

Mary Everson
Case Manager
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 E. Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701
meverson@icc.state.il.us

Asheesh Goel United States Securities & Exchange Commission 175 W. Jackson Street, Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60604 goela@sec.gov

Paul Gracey
Vice President & General Counsel
Nicor Gas Company
1844 W. Ferry Road
Naperville, IL 60563
pgracey@nicor.com

SERVICE LIST

ICC DOCKET NO. 02-0067

Michael Guerra
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
d/b/a Nicor Gas Company
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
8000 Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606
mquerra@sonnenschein.com

Albert E. Harms
Manager Rate Research
Northern Illinois Gas Company
1844 W. Ferry Road
P.O. Box 190
Aurora, IL 60507-0190
aharms@nicor.com

Leslie D. Haynes
Administrative Law Judge
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL 60601
Ihaynes@icc.state.il.us

Mark G. Kaminski Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Bureau 100 W. Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, IL 60601 mkaminski@atg.state.il.us

Robert Kelter
Citizens Utility Board
208 S LaSalle St., Ste. 1760
Chicago, IL 60604
rkelter@citizensutilityboard.org

Steve Knepler
Case Manager
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 E. Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701
sknepler@icc.state.il.us

Mark Maple
Case Staff
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL 60601
mmaple@icc.state.il.us

Stephen J. Mattson
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw
190 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60603-3441
smattson@mayerbrownrowe.com

Jerome Mierzwa
Exeter Associates
12510 Prosperity Dr., Ste. 350
Silver Spring, MD 20904
jmierzwa@exeterassociates.com

Sarah Naumer
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
snaumer@sonnenschein.com

Karin Norington-Reaves Citizens Utility Board 208 S LaSalle, Ste. 1760 Chicago, IL 60604 knorington@cuboard.org

Angela O'Brien
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw
190 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60603
aobrien@mayerbrownrowe.com

SERVICE LIST

ICC DOCKET NO. 02-0067

Mark N. Pera
Assistant State's Attorney
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 West Washington Street, Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60602
mpera@cookcountygov.com

John J. Reichart
Office of General Counsel
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N LaSalle St., Ste. C-800
Chicago, IL 60601
jreichar@icc.state.il.us

Elizabeth Rolando
Chief Clerk
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701
erolando@icc.state.il.us

John E. Rooney
Atty. for Northern Illinois Gas Company
d/b/a Nicor Gas Company
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
8000 Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606
irooney@sonnenschein.com

Marie Spicuzza
Assistant State's Attorney
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 West Washington Street, Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60602
mspicuz@cookcountygov.com

Russ Strobel
Northern Illinois Gas Company
d/b/a Nicor Gas Company
1844 W Ferry Rd
Naperville, IL 60563
rstrobe@nicor.com

Janis Von Qualen
Office of General Counsel
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 E Capitol Ave.
Springfield, IL 62701
jvonqual@icc.state.il.us